

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/728,355	WATSON MICHNICK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SUE LIU	1639	

All Participants:

(1) SUE LIU.

(2) Isaac Angres.

Date of Interview: 30 June 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

4, 5, 14, 30-33, and 37

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorization for an examiner Amendment to insert the term "one" after "any" in claims 4, 5, 14, 30-33 and 37 were obtained from applicant's representative.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/SUE LIU/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1639

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)